Glusker, J. P., Orehowsky, W. Jr, Casciato, C. A. & Carrell, H. L. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 419–425.

Leiserowitz, L. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 775-802.

- Orpen, A. G., Brammer, L., Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G. & Taylor, R. (1994). *Structure Correlation*, Vol. 2, edited by H.-B. Bürgi & J. D. Dunitz, Appendix A, pp. 751–858. Weinheim: VCH Publishers.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTLIPC User's Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1994). XSCANS User's Manual. Version 2.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2915-2917

N-[2-(1-Hydrazonoethyl)-3-benzofuranyl]*p*-toluenesulfonamide

FIORELLA BACHECHI,^{a*} VINCENZA M. COIRO^a and Maurizio Delfini^b

"Istituto di Strutturistica Chimica 'Giordano Giacomello', CNR, Area della Ricerca di Roma, PO Box 10, I-00016 Monterotondo Stazione (Rome), Italy, and ^bDipartimento di Chimica, Universitá di Roma 'La Sapienza', Rome, Italy. E-mail: fior@isc.mlib.cnr.it

(Received 2 April 1996; accepted 28 May 1996)

Abstract

The structure of the title compound, $C_{17}H_{17}N_3O_3S$, has been determined. It consists of two planar moieties, the benzofuran and S-aryl systems, which form an angle of 65.6 (1)° with one another.

Comment

Heterocyclic compounds containing a benzofuran moiety have been studied because they are active on the central nervous system. 2-Acetyl-3-aminobenzofurans and their corresponding 3-N-p-toluenesulfonyl derivatives were allowed to react with hydrazines in order to prepare the related hydrazones as starting materials for the synthesis of compounds which were expected to have psychotropic activity. Since only the N-p-toluenesulfonylbenzofurans gave hydrazones in good yield, Xray structural investigations on the starting compounds and products of this preliminary synthesis were carried out in order to gain a better understanding of the mechanism of the reaction with hydrazines. So far, the structures of the starting compounds (1) (Bachechi, Coiro, Gatta, Settimj & Delfini, 1988) and (2) (Bachechi, Coiro, Delfini & Settimi, 1988), and of the product (3) (Bachechi, Coiro, Delfini & Settimj, 1991) have been reported. The present work deals with the crystal structure of another product, N-[2-(1-hydrazonoethyl)-3-benzofuranyl)]-p-toluenesulfonamide, (4), which was easily prepared by treatment of the acetyl derivative (1) with hydrazine.



(1) $R_1 = SO_2C_6H_4CH_3$; $R_2 = CH_3$; $R_3 = O$ (2) $R_1 = H$; $R_2 = CH_3$; $R_3 = O$ (3) $R_1 = SO_2C_6H_4CH_3$; $R_2 = CH_6H_5$; $R_3 = N-NH_2$ (4) $R_1 = SO_2C_6H_4CH_3$; $R_2 = CH_3$; $R_3 = N-NH_2$

A perspective view of (4) with the atom-numbering scheme is shown in Fig. 1. Bond distances and angles are normal and compare well with those of the analogous compounds (1), (2) and (3).



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the title molecule showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are plotted at the 30% probability level.

The structure consists of two planar moieties, the benzofuran and the S-aryl systems, which form an angle of $65.6(1)^{\circ}$ with one another. The benzofuran moiety can be considered planar as is the case in the corresponding starting compound, (1). The mean plane through the benzofuran ring forms an angle of $3.5(1)^{\circ}$ with the plane through atoms C(10), C(11), N(2) and N(3) (Table 3). The C=N double bond thus lies in the plane of the benzofuran moiety.

An intramolecular hydrogen bond of 2.817 (4) Å is present between atoms N(1) and N(2). The hydrazone group assumes a *syn* configuration with respect to N(1) around the C(2)—C(10) bond and an *anti* configuration around the C(10)—N(2) bond, as in the analogous compound (3).

Considering the structures of the four compounds, (1), (2), (3) and (4), some similar features are evident. They have a svn configuration of the hydrazone or carbonyl group around the C(2)—C(10) bond and an intramolecular hydrogen bond between N(1) and the O atom of the carbonyl group in compounds (1) and (2), and between N(1) and atom N(2) of the hydrazone group in compounds (3) and (4). In addition, in the S-aryl derivatives (1), (3) and (4), the C(3)—N(1)— SO₂C₆H₄CH₃ moieties are similarly oriented, as seen from the values of C(3)—N(1)—S—C(12) (\sim -55°) and N(1)—S—C(12)—C(13) (~-85°) (Table 3).

Quite surprisingly, the main differences between the four compounds relate to the benzofuran system and, in the toluenesulfonyl derivatives (1), (3) and (4), its conformation around the N(1)-C(3) bond. These differences can be rationalized only when correlated with the hydrogen bonding present in the four compounds. In the starting compounds (1) and (2), the $N(1) \cdots O(2)$ distances have obviouslyly different values [2.756 (4) in (1) and 2.853 (3) Å in (2)] while in the products (3) and (4), the $N(1) \cdots N(2)$ distances have intermediate values [2.843 (6) in (3) and 2.817 (4) Å in (4)]. As shown in Table 3, as the strength of the hydrogen-bond increases in the sequence $(3) \rightarrow (4) \rightarrow (1)$, there is a large increase in the value of the S-N(1)-C(3)-C(2) torsion angle which brings the H atom closer to the acceptor. Other structural parameters can be related to the strength of the hydrogen bond, for example, the S-N(1)—C(3) and N(1)—C(3)—C(2) angles, and the angle between the benzofuran plane and the plane through the substituent at C(2). Their variations in the sequence $(2) \rightarrow (3) \rightarrow (4) \rightarrow (1)$ also favour the directionality of the hydrogen bond as can be seen from the values of the N(1)—H···X angle [X is O(2) in compounds (1) and (2), and N(2) in (3) and (4)] and from the degree of coplanarity between the plane of the substituents at N(1) [C(3), N(1), S(1)] and that of the hydrogen-bond acceptor [i.e. C(2), C(10), C(11) R₃ (considered the plane of the lone pair)] (Table 3).

Only a few structural features are of interest in the starting materials (1) and (2). The main difference between them is seen in the $N(1) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2)$ hydrogen-bond distance, which may indicate a lower tendency towards hydrogen-bond interaction of the carboxyl group in compound (2). The poor polarizability of the C=O bond in compound (2), which is lost in the N-ptoluenesulfonyl derivative, (1), may also account for the observed difference in reactivity towards hydrazine of the two starting materials.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared by adding 98% hydrazine hydrate to a warm solution of compound (1) in 50% aqueous

ethanol. The resulting solution was then allowed to stand for 2 h at room temperature and then overnight in a refrigerator. Small crystals of (4) were obtained from CHCl₃/methanol solution.

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

Cell parameters from 25

 $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

reflections

 $\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $0.5 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ mm Transparent colourless

for X-ray Crystallography

 $\theta = 14 - 18^{\circ}$

T = 295 K

Prism

Crystal data

$C_{17}H_{17}N_3O_3S$
$M_r = 343.4$
Monoclinic
$P2_1/n$
a = 8.160(2) Å
b = 22.289(4) Å
c = 9.461(2) Å
$\beta = 96.51(3)^{\circ}$
V = 1709.6 (6) Å ³
Z = 4
$D_1 = 1.334 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
D_m not measured

Data collection

S(1)

N(1)

N(2) N(3)

O(1)

O(3)

O(4) C(2)

C(3)

C(4) C(5)

C(6) C(7) C(8)

C(9) C(10)

C(11)

C(12)

Syntex P2 ₁ four-circle	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0336$
diffractometer	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.0^{\circ}$
Profile data from ω scans	$h = 0 \rightarrow 10$
Absorption correction:	$k = 0 \rightarrow 29$
none	$l = -12 \rightarrow 12$
6681 measured reflections	3 standard reflections
4543 independent reflections	monitored every 100
2289 observed reflections	reflections
$[I > 3\sigma(I)]$	intensity decay: none
Refinement	
Refinement on F	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.153$
R = 0.0525	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
w R = 0.0593	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.0785	Extinction correction: none
2289 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
285 parameters	from International Tables

Weighting scheme based (1974, Vol. IV, Tables on measured e.s.d.'s; 2.2A, 2.2C, 2.3.1) $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F^2)]$

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($Å^2$)

$$B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$$

X	y.	÷	B_{eq}
0.88417 (9)	0.12343 (4)	0.30650(8)	4.0
0.9306 (3)	0.0741(1)	0.1877 (3)	4.0
0.8897 (4)	0.0964(1)	-0.1073(3)	5.2
0.9154 (4)	0.1305(2)	-0.2271(3)	6.8
0.6760(3)	-0.0344(1)	-0.0160(2)	4.7
0.8569 (3)	0.0913(1)	0.4324 (2)	5.1
1.0133 (3)	0.1672(1)	0.3112 (3)	5.6
0.7674 (3)	0.0177 (1)	0.0005 (3)	3.9
0.8213 (3)	0.0271(1)	0.1407 (3)	3.6
0.7641 (3)	-0.0221(1)	0.2201 (3)	3.8
().6751 (4)	-0.0579(1)	0.1179 (3)	4.4
0.5973 (4)	-0.1103(2)	0.1487 (4)	5.9
0.6169 (5)	-0.1282(2)	().2904 (4)	6.4
().7097 (4)	-0.0942(2)	0.3936 (4)	6.0
0.7818 (4)	-0.0414(2)	0.3626(3)	4.8
().7977 (4)	0.0498 (2)	-0.1278 (3)	4.4
0.7251 (4)	0.0259 (2)	-0.2697 (3)	6.1
0.6978 (3)	0.1584 (3)	0.2411 (3)	3.6

C(13)	0.6993 (4)	0.2065 (3)	0.1483 (3)	4.8
C(14)	0.5540 (4)	().2337 (4)	0.0978 (4)	5.5
C(15)	0.4045 (4)	0.2148 (3)	0.1388 (3)	4.8
C(16)	0.4058 (4)	0.1667 (4)	0.2311 (4)	5.4
C(17)	0.5518 (4)	0.1385 (3)	0.2828 (3)	4.6
C(18)	().2444 (4)	0.2440 (4)	().0794 (4)	7.2

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

S(1)—N(1)	1.648 (3)	C(4)—C(9)	1.407 (4)
S(1)—O(3)	1.428 (2)	C(5)—C(6)	1.378 (5)
S(1)—O(4)	1.433 (3)	C(6)—C(7)	1.390 (6)
S(1)-C(12)	1.758 (3)	C(7)—C(8)	1.390 (5)
N(1)C(3)	1.413 (4)	C(8)—C(9)	1.362 (5)
N(2)—N(3)	1.401 (4)	C(10) - C(11)	1.502 (4)
N(2)—C(10)	1.284 (5)	C(12)—C(13)	1.387 (4)
O(1)—C(2)	1.379 (4)	C(12)—C(17)	1.370 (4)
O(1)—C(5)	1.372 (4)	C(13)—C(14)	1.369 (5)
C(2)-C(3)	1.366 (4)	C(14)—C(15)	1.387 (5)
C(2)—C(10)	1.453 (4)	C(15)—C(16)	1.381 (5)
C(3)-C(4)	1.438 (4)	C(15)—C(18)	1.510 (5)
C(4)—C(5)	1.392 (4)	C(16)—C(17)	1.386 (4)
O(3) = S(1) = N(1)	1077(1)	C(6) = C(5) = O(1)	1248(3)
O(4) = S(1) = N(1)	104.5(1)	C(6) = C(5) = C(4)	123.7 (3)
O(4) = S(1) = O(3)	1199(1)	C(7) = C(5) = C(4)	1163(3)
C(12) = S(1) = N(1)	108.2(1)	C(8) = C(7) = C(6)	121.0 (4)
C(12) = S(1) = O(3)	107.8(1)	C(9) = C(8) = C(7)	122.3 (3)
C(12) = S(1) = O(3)	108.2 (1)	C(8) = C(9) = C(4)	118 1 (3)
C(3) = N(1) = S(1)	1216(2)	C(2) = C(10) = N(2)	115.1 (3)
C(10) = N(1) = S(1)	1171(3)	C(1) = C(10) = N(2)	125.8 (3)
C(5) = O(1) = C(2)	106.0(2)	C(11) = C(10) = C(2)	1191(3)
C(3) = C(2) = O(1)	110.5(2)	C(13) = C(12) = S(1)	119.7 (2)
C(10) - C(2) - O(1)	117.4(2)	C(17) - C(12) - S(1)	120.1 (2)
C(10) - C(2) - C(3)	1320(3)	C(17) - C(12) - C(13)	120.2 (3)
C(2) - C(3) - N(1)	122.8 (3)	C(14) - C(13) - C(12)	119.6 (3)
C(4) - C(3) - N(1)	129.2 (2)	C(15) - C(14) - C(13)	121.5 (3)
C(4) - C(3) - C(2)	107.6 (3)	C(16) - C(15) - C(14)	117.9 (3)
C(5) - C(4) - C(3)	104.4(2)	C(18) - C(15) - C(14)	121.1 (3)
C(9) - C(4) - C(3)	136.9 (3)	C(18) - C(15) - C(16)	121.0 (3)
C(9) - C(4) - C(5)	118.6 (3)	C(17) - C(16) - C(15)	121.4 (3)
C(4) - C(5) - O(1)	111.5 (3)	C(16) - C(17) - C(12)	119.4 (4)

Table 3. Geometric parameters $(Å, \circ)$ of the related benzofuran derivatives (1), (2), (3) and (4)

The atoms used to calculate the mean planes are in square brackets. R_3 is one of the substituents at C(10) (see *Scheme*). X is O(2) in compounds (1) and (2), and N(2) in compounds (3) and (4).

	(1)	(4)	(3)	(2)
[O(1)-C(9)]^	77.4(1)	65.6(1)	46.1 (2)	
[S(1), C(12)-C(17)]				
[O(1)–C(9)]^	0.7(1)	3.5(1)	11.1(2)	7.9(1)
$[C(11), C(10), R_3]$				
C(3) = N(1) = S = C(12)	-55.2 (3)	-57.4 (3)	-52.8 (4)	
N(1) - S - C(12) - C(13)	-72.9(3)	-83.7 (4)	-95.2 (4)	
N(1)—S—C(12)	106.8(1)	108.2(1)	106.7 (3)	
S-N(1)-C(3)-C(2)	140.8 (3)	124.8 (2)	106.5 (3)	
$N(1) - H \cdots X$	2.756(4)	2.817 (4)	2.843 (6)	2.853 (3
S - N(1) - C(3)	124.3(2)	121.6(2)	116.2 (3)	
C(2) - C(3) - N(1)	119.8 (3)	122.8 (3)	122.8 (5)	126.4 (3)
$N(1) - H \cdots X$	106	92	80	
$[C(2), C(10), C(11), R_3]^{\wedge}$	41.2(1)	56.1(1)	79.5 (2)	
[C(3), N(1), S(1)]				

The structure was solved by direct methods (*SHELXTL-Plus*; Sheldrick, 1991) and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods. All the non-H atoms were refined anisotropically. The H atoms of the methyl groups were introduced at geometrically idealized positions. All other H atoms could be located on a difference Fourier map. All H atoms were refined riding on their parent atoms.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1246). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

Bachechi, F., Coiro, V. M., Delfini, M. & Settimj, G. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 1449-1451.

- Bachechi, F., Coiro, V. M., Delfini, M. & Settimj, G. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 629-632.
- Bachechi, F., Coiro, V. M., Gatta, F., Settimj, G. & Delfini, M. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 300-303.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1991). SHELXTL-Plus. Release 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2917-2920

Two Polymorphic Forms of 3-Hydroxy-2methyl-4*H*-pyran-4-one (Maltol)

John Burgess,^{*a*} John Fawcett,^{*a*} David R. Russell,^{*a*} Robert C. Hider,^{*b*} M. Bilayet Hossain,^{*c*} Cindy R. Stoner^{*c*} and Dick van der Helm^{*c*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH, England, ^bSchool of Life, Basic Medical and Health Sciences, Kings College London, Campden Hill Road, Kensington, London W8 7AH, England, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73019, USA. E-mail: jxf@leicester.ac.uk

(Received 26 April 1996; accepted 30 May 1996)

Abstract

The crystal structures of two polymorphic forms of maltol, $C_6H_6O_3$, have been determined. The polymorphs exhibit different crystal-packing arrangements through intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving the hydroxyl H atoms. Form (1) has a near-planar chain of molecules linked by hydrogen bonds while form (2) has mutually hydrogen-bonded dimers. In both polymorphic forms there are also weaker C—H···O bonds which give greater stability to the chains of form (1) and link the dimers in form (2).

Comment

In the structures of both polymorphic forms, the hydroxyl atoms H1 bonded to the O1 atoms are involved in intermolecular hydrogen bond to a carbonyl atom, O2. In the case of polymorph (1), there are two crystallographically unique molecules (A and B) arranged